

## PUBLIC SAFETY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE

DATE: March 17, 2010

CALLED TO ORDER: 5:36 p.m.

ADJOURNED: 6:24 p.m.

### ATTENDANCE

#### Attending Members

Benjamin Hunter, Chair  
Vernon Brown  
Bob Cockrum  
Marilyn Pfisterer  
Christine Scales  
Ryan Vaughn

#### Absent Members

Mary Moriarty Adams  
William Oliver

### AGENDA

PROPOSAL NO. 42, 2010 - approves crime prevention initiative grant awards to specific organizations as recommended by the Crime Prevention Advisory Board and as approved by the Mayor

“Do Pass as Amended”

Vote: 6-0

PROPOSAL NO. 64, 2010 - reappoints Jeffrey M. Wells to the Crime Prevention Advisory Board

“Strike”

Vote: 6-0

PROPOSAL NO. 65, 2010 - reappoints Marilyn Pfisterer to the Early Intervention Planning Council

“Do Pass”

Vote: 6-0

PROPOSAL NO. 66, 2010 - reappoints Patricia Jones to the Early Intervention Planning Council

“Do Pass”

Vote: 6-0

PROPOSAL NO. 67, 2010 - reappoints Linda Hogan to the Early Intervention Planning Council

“Do Pass”

Vote: 6-0

PROPOSAL NO. 68, 2010 - approves a transfer of \$35,000 in the 2010 Budget of the Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency (MECA and MECA Emergency Telephone System Funds) to fund overtime costs related to the operations of the customer service desk  
“Do Pass”

Vote: 6-0

## PUBLIC SAFETY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE

The Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee of the City-County Council met on Wednesday, March 17, 2010. Chair Benjamin Hunter called the meeting to order at 5:36 p.m. with the following members present: Vernon Brown, Bob Cockrum, Marilyn Pfisterer, Christine Scales and Ryan Vaughn. Absent were Mary Moriarty Adams and William Oliver. Also in attendance was Councillor Barbara Malone.

Chair Benjamin Hunter asked all Councillors to introduce themselves and state which district they represent. He asked for consent to move Proposal No. 42, 2010 to the end of the agenda. Consent was given.

### PROPOSAL NO. 64, 2010 - reappoints Jeffrey M. Wells to the Crime Prevention Advisory Board

Chair Hunter said that Mr. Wells is no longer willing to serve on this board.

Councillors Cockrum and Vaughn moved, seconded by Councillor Scales, to “Strike” Proposal No. 64, 2010. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

### PROPOSAL NO. 65, 2010 - reappoints Marilyn Pfisterer to the Early Intervention Planning Council

Councillor Pfisterer said that she is thrilled to serve on the Early Intervention Planning Council (EIPC). She said that the mission of the EIPC is to identify at-risk children and provide them and their families with services before they reach the crisis point of entering the juvenile system.

Councillor Vaughn moved, seconded by Councillor Scales, to forward Proposal No. 65, 2010 to the full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

Chair Hunter asked for consent to hear Proposal Nos. 66 and 67, 2010 together. Consent was given.

### PROPOSAL NO. 66, 2010 - reappoints Patricia Jones to the Early Intervention Planning Council

### PROPOSAL NO. 67, 2010 - reappoints Linda Hogan to the Early Intervention Planning Council

Chair Hunter said that it has been the custom of this Committee not to require reappointees to attend the meeting for reappointment.

Councillor Pfisterer said that Patricia Jones is the representative for the township schools and Linda Hogan is the representative from Midtown Mental Health. She said they have both been very instrumental in the formation and the launch of the EIPC. Councillor Pfisterer moved,

seconded by Councillor Scales, to forward Proposal Nos. 66 and 67, 2010 to the full Council with "Do Pass" recommendations. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

PROPOSAL NO. 68, 2010 - approves a transfer of \$35,000 in the 2010 Budget of the Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency (MECA and MECA Emergency Telephone System Funds) to fund overtime costs related to the operations of the customer service desk

Ray Raney, Executive Director MECA, said that they have the infrastructure responsibility to provide for over 100 different public safety and public service agencies in Marion County with about 9,700 customers. He said in order to provide the type of critical support that their customers require, MECA has a customer service desk that is a help desk which is operated by customer service technicians 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365 days a year. Director Raney said that they know that during the current year they have five customer service system technicians that will receive 12 paid holidays, which equates to 288 hours. He said they also know that these five employees combined have 1,459 benefit hours for 2010, which includes carryover hours from 2009. Director Raney said in 2007, MECA had a pay-out of \$37,000 for benefit leave and sick leave for employees that terminated employment during that year. He said this came out of their overtime budget. He said they have been working closely with the Office of Finance and Management (OFM) and addressing funding for their overtime costs, and he believes that they can transfer existing funds to cover MECA's anticipated overtime costs with the Council's approval.

Greg Roembke, MECA Budget Manager, said that this started at the end of the budget process when the decision was made to remove overtime across the board. He said that they have worked with the Controller's office to try to resolve this issue. He said they know they will have a shortage in Character 01 in 2010 based on this. Mr. Roembke said they have looked at their budget internally and found areas where the money could be provided.

Councillor Vaughn said that he remembers during budget discussions that there was a reception or help desk line where people could call. He asked if this was the unfunded portion of the budget. Mr. Roembke answered in the affirmative, and stated that this is part of the overtime costs at the customer service desk. He said that people come in to this desk from fire, police and emergency medical services (EMS) on a 24-hour basis, and a technician works this desk to provide whatever services are needed.

Councillor Vaughn moved, seconded by Councillor Cockrum, to forward Proposal No. 68, 2010 to the full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

PROPOSAL NO. 42, 2010 - approves crime prevention initiative grant awards to specific organizations as recommended by the Crime Prevention Advisory Board and as approved by the Mayor

John Cochran, Special Counsel, Mayor's Office, said that Matt Hendrix, Director, Greater Indianapolis Progress Committee (GIPC), will discuss the process by which these grants are approved and present Mayor Greg Ballard's recommendations. Mr. Hendrix read through his presentation (attached as Exhibit A), which includes the following key points:

- Background
  - In 2007, the Council approved a grant program that would give money to community-based organizations for crime prevention programs throughout the City.
  - GIPC was asked to use a grant staff from the last quarter of 2008 thru 2009 to oversee the grant management by putting a process in place for scoring and monitoring grantees and for securing a third-party evaluator to do a comprehensive evaluation of the crime prevention program thru a competitive bidding process.
    - The Indiana Youth Institute was selected for the evaluation. They will continue to work thru early 2011.
- Grant Advisory Board
  - Approximately half of the board members are appointed by the City-County Council and half by the Mayor.
  - Bi-partisan Board
  - Two Ex-Officio members are non-voting members.
- The Process
  - More than 100 proposals were received.
  - Each team member spent countless hours reading over the proposals before meeting with all team members.
- Consideration was given to
  - The need for the program in the area proposed.
    - There are certain areas that have a high concentration of crime and that may have been more important in some cases than funding a program in an area where the need was not as significant.
  - Collaboration and coordination
    - Nonprofit organizations are expected to work well with the City, as well as to form partnerships with other organizations in the communities to leverage their resources.
  - Sustainability and leveraging potential
    - Do not want organizations to solely rely on this money to support their program.
  - Budgets
    - Wanted to make sure that the organizations' budgets were realistic.
- 2010 Funding Categories
  - Last year, there were a few more funding categories.
  - Education
    - Truancy prevention is big in terms of educational programs, such as just getting kids to classrooms.
  - Neighborhoods
    - Essentially programs such as crime watch and neighborhood problem solving groups.
  - Re-Entry
    - It is understood that a lot of attention needs to be devoted to ex-offender re-entry programs to prepare ex-offenders for their life outside of prison.

- Does not mean simply finding housing, but includes ensuring stable housing, teaching financial management skills, providing mentoring along the way and helping with transportation to a job if necessary.
- Youth
  - Keeping Kids Safe and Productive allowed the ability to combine some of the funding areas from last year, such as at-risk families, foster care, etc.
  - It is hoped that these programs will foster social development and help with conflict resolution.
- Application Summary
  - These requests and recommendations have gone through the process of the advisory board reviewing the applications and making recommendations to the Mayor, and then the Mayor sending his recommendations to the Council.

Mr. Hendrix said that they can dig deep into specific programs, but the total goal of all of the programs combined was to serve over 8,100 unduplicated individuals through the 2009 programs. He said that at the end of the third quarter, more than 18,000 unduplicated individuals have been served through the crime prevention programs. He said that the grant money went out in late March or early April of 2009, and the grant period was extended so that the programs could spend money through this month.

Councillor Vaughn said that it is a lot to ask people to review \$15 million of requests and make a decision on only \$4 million, given the limited resources. He said that he believes that everyone agrees that the process needs to be reformed a bit, as it is not really fair to the applicants, the volunteers reviewing the applications, or the public, and it is not totally transparent.

Councillor Vaughn said that some of the Councillors have met and voiced concerns amongst themselves about some of the recommendations and some of the things that were not funded. He said a group of Councillors, including Councillors Barbara Malone, Vernon Brown, Monroe Gray, Benjamin Hunter, himself and others, via e-mail and phone, talked about a variety of the programs and whether they are funded at the appropriate levels. Councillor Vaughn said they have developed what he believes to be a consensus amendment to a few of the programs. He discussed the changes, as shown on Exhibit B. He said that the shaded area in the middle is the funding recommended by the Mayor's Office and the advisory board, and the last column is the Council recommendations. He said the changes are highlighted in the last column and include:

- An increase for the Central Indiana Community Foundation (CICF) from \$249,000 to \$301,100.
  - The reason for this change is because some of the Councillors expressed a desire to have summer youth employment for at-risk youth to be the highest priority.
    - They are already receiving the most funding, but their particular programs seem to be very efficient in the way they use their funds.
  - Last year, CICF was awarded \$125,000, and 96% of that went toward salaries to employ 69 at-risk youth at over 176 different organizations over an eight-week period.
    - He said he, as well as other Councillors, were impressed with the efficiency of that program.

- They wanted to consolidate all of the programs that were receiving funding for at-risk youth into the most efficient program.
  - Councillor Brown, in particular, was interested in ensuring that these children have an opportunity to work in their community and with the City if possible.
- A decrease for the Greater Indianapolis Chamber of Commerce from \$49,000 to \$29,000.
  - This is not a reflection of the Council's opinion of this organization or the job that they do, as it is a valuable organization in the community.
  - The Council looked at organizations that have substantial private or independent funding to pull from, because it was felt that this change would impact those companies the least with their overall operations.
  - This program is an education component, and the Council felt that much of that was being addressed in some of the other programs.
- Indianapolis Downtown, Inc. (IDI) originally requested about \$240,000 of funding; the Advisory Board awarded them \$139,000; and the Council has since adjusted that amount to \$100,000.
  - Several Councillors misunderstood the entire application to be applied toward IDI's summer youth program.
  - A further review revealed that IDI's funding was broken down to different compartments, one of which was to fund a bike patrol for Downtown security.
    - These officers provide security and some hospitality functions that a traditional Indianapolis Metropolitan Police Department (IMPD) officer would not.
    - They take runs, issue citations, and make arrests when appropriate, but they also provide maps to visitors and direct people to convention sites, hotels, and restaurants.
    - The Councillors spoke with IDI about reducing their funds, and IDI is happy to fund their program with the \$100,000.
- The funding for the Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC) was adjusted from \$46,500 to \$21,500.
  - This is not a reflection on LISC, but this was an opportunity to reduce the amount and not have a substantial impact on the program.
  - Part of the LISC function was to raise awareness or promote landscaping public safety, which is to encourage and educate people on how to properly trim their hedges, replace outdoor lighting, and secure their facilities.
    - These things are very valuable, but are also done by the Mayor's Liaisons and IMPD.
- Funding for Peace in the Streets was eliminated.
  - They went through a slightly different process than many of the other applicants, as their application was not vetted through the Criminal Justice Advisory Board.
  - Upon the Mayor's recommendation, they submitted an application, and the Mayor recommended that they be funded at \$99,000.
  - This is an organization that is part of the Mayor's Office and part of Public Safety, and if there is really a commitment to the program, it should be funded within the budget and will be addressed in this Fall's budget process.

- Child Advocates, Inc. was not originally awarded funding, but was recommended to receive \$130,000 as a recommendation of the sub-committee of Councillors.
  - Child Advocates, Inc. provides Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) and Guardian Ad Litem functions to kids who are in desperate need of an advocate in the court system.
    - This Committee recently had a proposal that provided \$2 million in funding to provide Guardian Ad Litem services to kids in Juvenile Court cases, which the City is required to do by law.
    - This funding deals with the same kids who have not yet been referred to Juvenile Court, but have been identified by civil judges as needing the services.
    - This funding will take those cases through the end of the calendar year.
- As a result of a legislative change, Guardian Ad Litem services will be funded as a function of the City's budget, effective January 1, 2011.

Councillor Vaughn moved, seconded by Councillor Brown, to "Amend" Proposal No. 42, 2010 as described by Councillor Vaughn.

Councillor Cockrum said that there is also a difference in the amount of the Mayor's suggestion and the Council's suggestion for the Indianapolis Expo. Councillor Vaughn said that this change must be a typo, because the sub-committee did not discuss any changes to any other agency than those he mentioned. Councillor Cockrum said that there is a difference in the numbers of \$900,000. Councillor Vaughn said that the \$900,000 should be taken from the Council's recommended Indiana Black Expo line and added to the recommended Central Indiana Community Foundation line, which would change their amount to \$302,000. The amendment was changed and distributed to the Committee, and is reflected in the attached Exhibit B.

Councillor Brown thanked the Advisory Committee for the countless hours spent on reviewing the applications. He asked for the names of the members. Mr. Hendrix stated that the members' names are listed on the second page of Exhibit A. However, Rebecca Phillippi was involved in this entire process, but is no longer on the board, as she has taken a position with the Police Complaint Board.

Councillor Brown said that the Councillors went through the change of funds process in a limited amount of time. He said that he wished they had more time, and said that the process will change a bit in the future. He asked Mr. Hendrix if the Advisory Committee can fund an organization's requested amount or reduce the amount after they apply. He asked if this would change the program. Mr. Hendrix answered in the affirmative. Councillor Brown said that he would like for each program to be looked at more closely in the future. He said that there is a lot of information given about the programs, but not much time for the Councillors to review the programs in detail. He said that this time the focus was on children, because if children are given something to do in a positive direction, it will reduce crime in the long run.

Councillor Brown said that a remedial process was mentioned last year, that would allow those who were not awarded funding to talk to the Advisory Committee about their application and what could be done to increase their chances in the future. He asked if that process took place



for this award year. Mr. Hendrix answered in the affirmative. He said that award letters will go out once the full Council approves the proposal, as well as letters to those who did not receive funding. For those who did not receive funding, their letters will include some information about the process, how the decisions were made, and contact information for the GIPC office to answer any questions. Mr. Hendrix said that the number to the GIPC office is 317-327-3860.

Councillor Brown said that he would like for information to be provided to all Councillors on how the process is going during the process, so that the Councillors can possibly get ahead of the game. He said he would also like to get the fourth quarter report. The motion to amend the proposal carried by a vote of 6-0.

Chair Hunter welcomed Councillor Malone to the meeting. Councillor Malone said that she had reviewed a number of the grant proposals in line with the third quarter report. She said that she had concerns in reference to several issues regarding the grants. However, those concerns have been addressed in talking with the applicants or representatives of the applicants. She said she appreciates the demographical report included in Exhibit A, but her concern is that she believes it is difficult to assess the value of any program by just taking the number of individuals who are served and dividing them by the amount of money that is spent. Councillor Malone said that she does not feel that this equates to the success of any program. Therefore, for next year, she would like to see an ongoing process of evaluating the program by any means necessary.

Councillor Pfisterer thanked GIPC and the Advisory Committee. She said that she heartily endorses the funding of Child Advocates, Inc., as she is very humble by what the CASA program does. She said that she believes that this money will be greatly appreciated by Child Advocates and will serve the community well.

Councillor Brown said that he believes, with respect to Peace in the Streets, that the transformation of the Haughville area was a community effort and city-wide initiative. He said that the Christamore House and programs connected with it educated children, had re-entry programs and job fairs, paved the streets, built new businesses and a new library, and put a police roll call site in the middle of Haughville, which deters crime. Councillor Brown said that his comment about the Peace in the Streets signs being a waste of money is because he does not believe there is any statistical data that indicates crime is deterred because of signs. He said he would like to see some of the same type of initiatives that were done in Haughville done on the east side of Indianapolis, as well as a roll call site put in to deter crime.

Councillor Brown said that he recently took a volunteer position on the Pathway to the Future Board and they are one of the recipients of this grant. He said that they applied for and received the grant before he became a member of the board. He said that he wants to make sure that there is not a conflict of interest with his vote for the proposal, but he will abstain if necessary. Chair Hunter said that he is not going to request that Councillor Brown abstain, as the Councillors' Code of Ethics requires Councillors to simply state any potential conflicts, and Councillor Brown is not directly receiving the funds. Chair Hunter said that he was going to do the same, as these funds are touching many organizations in which many of the Councillors are involved. He said that he is on the Board of Directors for the Peace Learning Center, so he will also notify the Council of this.

Councillor Pfisterer said, in the interest of full disclosure, she is in training to be an advocate for CASA. Therefore, she will be a volunteer for this organization.

Councillor Scales stated that she is a member of Tabernacle Presbyterian Church, and they have received funds for some of its program. Chair Hunter reiterated that no Councillor is directly benefitting monetarily from the funding that is included in this ordinance.

Councillor Vaughn moved, seconded by Councillor Brown, to forward Proposal No. 42, 2010 to the full Council with a "Do Pass as Amended" recommendation. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

With no further business pending, and upon motion duly made, the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee of the City-County Council was adjourned at 6:24 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Benjamin Hunter, Chair  
Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee

BH/nsm



# [ Community Crime Prevention 2010 Grant Recommendations ]

Presented by  
**Matt Hendrix**, Executive Director  
Greater Indianapolis Progress Committee

# [ 2009 Community Crime Prevention Grant Advisory Board ]

- James Browne
- Sid Eisgruber
- Tammie Jones
- Marshall Lewis
- Rebecca Phillippi
- Kostas Poulakidas
- Avachino Reeves
- Jeffrey Wells
- Todd Wolfe
- Ex Officio:
  - Deputy Mayor Olgen Williams
  - City-County Councilor William “Duke” Oliver

# The Process

- Proposals were reviewed by a team consisting of a Greater Indianapolis Progress Committee (GIPC) staff member and one or two members of the bi-partisan Crime Prevention Advisory Board (Advisory Board)—usually two.
- Each team met to discuss their proposals and formulated preliminary funding recommendations.
- Each team presented their recommendations to the full Advisory Board by funding category. The Advisory Board often posed questions, which the GIPC staff researched between meetings.
- A running list of recommended programs and preliminary funding allocations was kept throughout a series of five Advisory Board meetings. At the final meeting, all remaining questions were answered and the final list was approved.

# The review process was guided by:

- The January 2007 *Community Recommendations for Addressing Crime in Indianapolis: A Report of the Community Crime Prevention Task Force*
- A presentation to the Crime Prevention Advisory Board by former Public Safety Director Scott Newman that included overall goals for this crime prevention initiative as well as a review of best practices in crime prevention
- United Way of Central Indiana's *2008 Community Assessment*.
- The considerable knowledge and expertise that each Advisory Board member brought to the process
- A review of crime trends in various geographic areas of Marion County, e.g., areas with a high incidence of violent crime and areas expected to see an influx of ex-offenders
- Knowledge and data from GIPC grant managers regarding performance of 2009 grantees

# [ Consideration was given to: ]

- The applicant's **past outcomes** related to crime prevention
- Detailed **target population**
- The **need** for the program in the area proposed
- **Goals and objectives** of the program
- Projected **outcomes**, community benefits, timeline and self-evaluation
- **Collaboration and coordination**
- **Sustainability and leveraging potential**
- **Budgets**

# [ 2010 Funding Categories ]

## Education

### Education

- Ancillary programs that improve relationships between youth, families and schools through supportive activities and research-based approaches
- Programs should address risk factors and utilize best practices to support academic achievements for at-risk individuals, improve school environments and enhance school safety, attendance, performance, and success rates.
- Truancy prevention and alternatives to suspension and expulsion



# [ 2010 Funding Categories ]

## Health

### Health

- Improving the availability and quality of substance abuse and mental health treatment programs that strengthen coordination between criminal justice and treatment systems and address the causal relationship between substance abuse, mental illness and crime, including relapse and recidivism
- Expansions of low-cost programs in neighborhoods where drug behavior exists
- Wrap around services such as mentoring, financial management, housing, addiction coaching, traditional mental health counseling

# [ 2010 Funding Categories Neighborhoods ]

## **Neighborhood Mobilization / Strengthening (or Safe Neighborhoods)**

- Programs that increase community awareness and citizen engagement, foster positive police and community relations, and provide crime prevention activities.

# [ 2010 Funding Categories ]

## Re-Entry

### **Ex-Offender Reintegration/Re-entry**

- Comprehensive re-entry programs that take a holistic approach to preparing ex-offenders for release, meeting reintegration and re-entry needs (e.g. housing, financial management, mentoring, barrier busting assistance), and removing risk factors for re-arrest
- Employment readiness, training and placement
- Substance abuse / mental health treatment to prevent relapse and recidivism

# [ 2010 Funding Categories ]

## Youth

### Keeping Kids Safe and Productive

- Youth engagement programs that meet the developmental needs of youth and prevent criminal and/or delinquent activity by addressing the root causes of crime and providing protective factors established through research and best practices
- Recreation and social development, conflict resolution, arts/telecommunications, teen courts, gang resistance education, life skills development and/or employment readiness and placement
- Connecting older youth and teens to community centers, faith-based organizations and nonprofits that offer recreation, tutoring, coaching, and/or employment skills development
- Programs for families that demonstrate risk factors for abuse or neglect, have had contact with the criminal justice or child welfare systems, and/or have been impacted by incarceration and/or domestic violence
- Mentoring programs that match at-risk youth with trained adult volunteers who meet frequently with youth over a substantial period of time
- Comprehensive programs for youth “aging out” of foster care

# [ Application Summary ]

Funding Category	# Received	Total Amount Requested	# Recommended	Total Amount Recommended	%
Education	21	\$2,218,185	9	\$503,250	13%
Health	6	\$842,000	4	\$408,500	10%
Neighborhoods	6	\$675,200	4	\$242,700	6%
Re-Entry	34	\$4,679,159	16	\$1,002,000	25%
Youth	51	\$6,324,230	32	\$1,690,750	42%
Other	5	\$829,047	3	\$152,800	4%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>\$15,567,821</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>\$4,000,000</b>	<b>100%</b>

# Recommendations - Education

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
100 Black Men	African-American History, Scholastic Sports and Summer Academy	\$50,000	\$90,000	\$37,000
Family Services of Central Indiana	Breaking Free; Alternatives	\$86,000	\$147,926	\$65,500
Forest Manor	Re-Entry Workforce Development Program (REWFD)	\$40,000	\$161,435	\$46,500
GEO Foundation	Chart a Life Without Crime (CLWC)	\$0	\$193,300	\$49,000
Greater Indianapolis Chamber of Commerce	Common Goal	\$70,000	\$70,000	\$49,000
Indy Reads	Literacy Lab	\$0	\$141,535	\$24,000
National Council for Educating Black Children	CELL - Counseling, Education, Lifeskills & Literacy	\$0	\$121,350	\$39,000
Peace Learning Center	Peace Schools	\$50,000	\$122,470	\$75,500
The Mind Trust	Diploma Plus	\$110,000	\$125,000	\$117,750

# [ Recommendations – Health ]

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Recommendation
Dove Recovery House	Starting Over Program	\$0	\$80,000	\$75,000
Emberwood Center	Street Alternatives Outpatient Treatment Program	\$60,000	\$119,901	\$99,000
Outreach, Inc	Street Outreach Program	\$89,347	\$150,000	\$65,500
Wishard - Health and Hosp Corp	Prescription for Hope	\$257,000	\$372,235	\$169,000

# Recommendations – Neighborhoods

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
Hawthorne Community Center	REACH - Recruit, Educate and Actively engage Citizen Helpers	\$96,000	\$54,500	\$50,700
Indianapolis Housing Agency	Empowering the Community	\$0	\$98,500	\$46,500
Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC)	SafeGrowth Crime Prevention Implementation and Technical Assistance	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$46,500
Peace in the Streets	Peace in the Streets; "See Something, Say Something"; Crime Prevention Partners	\$0	\$140,000	\$99,000



# [Recommendations – Re-Entry]

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
Bethlehem House	Project COACH - Coaching Others and Creating Holism	\$60,000	\$107,456	\$56,000
Christamore House	Planning for Success	\$0	\$42,500	\$37,000
Devington Community Development Corp	Devington Green Jobs Workforce Development Program	\$0	\$92,037	\$39,000
Fathers and Families	Fathers Stepping Up (FSU)	\$200,000	\$400,000	\$94,000
Indianapolis Urban League, Inc.	Community Access & Reintegration Effort (CARE)	\$100,000	\$192,525	\$84,500
John P. Craine House	Craine House	\$0	\$100,000	\$46,500
Marion County Superior Court	Community Court	\$75,000	\$87,114	\$70,250

# [ Recommendations - Re-Entry ]

(Continued)

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
New Life Development	New Life Development Ministries (NLDM)	\$0	\$90,000	\$19,000
PACE/OAR	GED and Adult Basic Education	\$150,000	\$176,280	\$69,000
Progress House	Recovery Re-Entry Accountability Plan	\$160,000	\$287,010	\$84,500
River Valley Resource, Inc	INDY PARENT	\$123,000	\$171,479	\$70,250
Trusted Mentors	Mentoring for Successful Reentry	\$70,000	\$71,460	\$56,000
Use What You've Got Ministry	Keeping Families Connected	\$50,000	\$100,000	\$46,500
Voice in the Wilderness	Re-Discover You Initiative	\$90,000	\$99,000	\$84,000

# Recommendations - Youth

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
Andrew J. Brown Academy	AJB Crime Prevention Mentoring Program	\$0	\$104,245	\$46,500
Big Brothers Big Sisters	Big Brothers Big Sisters mentoring program	\$75,000	\$125,000	\$54,000
CAFÉ	2010 Teen Program	\$40,000	\$78,671	\$39,000
Children's Bureau	Family Group Conferencing	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$22,750
Church of Acts	Club ACTS; Teen Night and Club Hope	\$75,000	\$300,000	\$74,000
CICF	Youth Working for Indy	\$102,000	\$250,000	\$249,000
Concord Center	Now For the Future; Alternative Suspension; Youth Social & Recreation	\$40,000	\$55,875	\$34,000

# [ Recommendations – Youth ]

(Continued)

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
Ebenezer Retreat and Camping Institute	Ebenezer Retreat and Camping Institute	\$40,000	\$165,000	\$59,000
Great Commission Church of God	Young Men Inc Male Empowerment Program	\$71,352	\$62,883	\$64,000
Indiana Black Expo, Inc	Youth Video Institute Wireless (YVI-W) Program	\$80,000	\$108,229	\$39,000
Indiana Latino Institute, Inc.	LYM Latino Youth Mentoring	\$0	\$116,511	\$20,000
Indianapolis 10 Point Coalition	Street Outreach Worker Program	\$170,000	\$231,110	\$124,000
Indianapolis Art Center	ArtReach, SMART and American Scene	\$45,000	\$50,000	\$19,000

# Recommendations – Youth

(Continued)

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
Indianapolis Downtown Inc.	IDI Bike and Walking Patrol, Summer Youth Employment, Panhandling Reduction/CHIP in at the Box	\$160,000	\$240,000	\$139,000
Indianapolis Parks Foundation	Out of School, Into the Parks	\$0	\$100,000	\$19,000
Indianapolis Symphony Orchestra	Metropolitan Youth Orchestra (MYO)	\$0	\$71,890	\$65,500
Indy Pal Club	Indy PAL Club	\$0	\$90,000	\$51,250
Jamal Abdulla Martial Arts Center	Jamal Abdulllah's Martial Arts	\$0	\$50,000	\$37,000
La Plaza	Leadership Institute for Latino Youth	\$40,000	\$54,837	\$40,000

# [ Recommendations – Youth ]

(Continued)

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
Life Line Community Center	LLCC Youth Grow And Glow	\$0	\$16,700	\$19,000
Lutheran Child & Family Services	LifeBuild	\$0	\$60,624	\$24,000
Martin Luther King Community Center	Youth Destined for Success	\$40,000	\$448,720	\$39,000
Mary Rigg Neighborhood Center	Thinking for a Change	\$40,000	\$80,500	\$29,000
Mt Carmel Community Center	Mt Carmel Community Life Center's Sports and Recreation Program	\$0	\$90,000	\$69,000

# [ Recommendations – Youth ]

(Continued)

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
NOAH	BUILD UP + PLUS	\$0	\$295,800	\$74,000
Pathway to the Future Learning Center, Inc.	Helping Others Transform (HOT)	\$0	\$92,127	\$39,000
Reach for Youth	Future Track Truancy Diversion Program	\$60,000	\$110,418	\$51,250
Southeast Community Center	Teen Career Coaching Program (TCCP)	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$22,750
Tabernacle Recreation	Tabernacle Recreation Program (Tab Rec)	\$0	\$33,775	\$27,500
Tanniehill Wrestling Academy	Take Down Crime	\$40,000	\$97,650	\$49,000
VSA Arts of Indiana	Urban Artisans	\$0	\$40,000	\$19,000

# [ Recommendations - Other ]

Organization	Program Name	2009 Grant Amount	2010 Amount Requested	Mayor's Rec.
Horizon House	Opportunity Knocks	\$60,000	\$50,868	\$47,300
Julian Center	Indianapolis Partnership to Combat Domestic Violence	\$0	\$338,619	\$68,500
The Salvation Army	Salvation Army Social Service Center	\$0	\$40,000	\$37,000



Organization	Mayor's Recommendations	Council Recommendations
100 Black Men	\$37,000	\$37,000
Andrew J. Brown Academy	\$46,500	\$46,500
Bethlehem House	\$56,000	\$56,000
Big Brothers Big Sisters	\$54,000	\$54,000
Central Indiana Community Foundation	\$249,000	\$302,000
Children's Bureau	\$22,750	\$22,750
Christamore House	\$37,000	\$37,000
Church of Acts	\$74,000	\$74,000
Community Alliance of the Far Eastside (CAFÉ)	\$39,000	\$39,000
Concord Center	\$34,000	\$34,000
Devington Community Development Corp.	\$39,000	\$39,000
Dove Recovery House	\$75,000	\$75,000
Ebenezer Retreat and Camping Institute	\$59,000	\$59,000
Emberwood Center	\$99,000	\$99,000
Family Services of Central Indiana	\$65,500	\$65,500
Fathers and Families	\$94,000	\$94,000
Forest Manor Multi-Service Center	\$46,500	\$46,500
GEO Foundation	\$49,000	\$49,000
Great Commission Church of God	\$64,000	\$64,000

Greater Indianapolis Chamber of Commerce	\$49,000	\$29,000
Hawthorne Community Center	\$50,700	\$50,700
Horizon House	\$47,300	\$47,300
Indiana Black Expo, Inc	\$39,000	\$39,000
Indiana Latino Institute, Inc.	\$30,000	\$30,000
Indianapolis Art Center	\$19,000	\$19,000
Indianapolis Downtown Inc.	\$139,000	\$100,000
Indianapolis Housing Agency	\$46,500	\$46,500
Indianapolis Parks Foundation	\$19,000	\$19,000
Indianapolis Symphony Orchestra	\$65,500	\$65,500
Indianapolis Ten Point Coalition	\$124,000	\$124,000
Indianapolis Urban League, Inc.	\$84,500	\$84,500
Indy Pal Club	\$51,250	\$51,250
Indy Reads	\$24,000	\$24,000
Jamal Abdulla Martial Arts Center	\$37,000	\$37,000
John P. Craine House, Inc.	\$46,500	\$46,500
La Plaza	\$30,000	\$30,000
Life Line Community Center	\$19,000	\$19,000
Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC)	\$46,500	\$21,500
Lutheran Child & Family Services	\$24,000	\$24,000
Marion County Superior Court	\$70,250	\$70,250
Martin Luther King Community Center	\$39,000	\$39,000

Mary Rigg Neighborhood Center	\$29,000	\$29,000
Mt Carmel Community Center	\$69,000	\$69,000
National Council for Educating Black Children	\$39,000	\$39,000
Neighbors Organized to Assist Humanity (NOAH)	\$74,000	\$74,000
New Life Development	\$19,000	\$19,000
New Light Christian Church	\$32,250	\$32,250
Outreach, Inc	\$65,500	\$65,500
PACE/OAR	\$69,000	\$69,000
Pathway to the Future Learning Center, Inc.	\$39,000	\$39,000
Peace in the Streets	\$99,000	\$0
Peace Learning Center	\$75,500	\$75,500
Progress House	\$84,500	\$84,500
Reach for Youth	\$51,250	\$51,250
River Valley Resource, Inc	\$70,250	\$70,250
Southeast Community Center	\$22,750	\$22,750
Tabernacle Recreation	\$27,500	\$27,500
Tannahill Wrestling Academy	\$49,000	\$49,000
The Julian Center	\$68,500	\$68,500
The Mind Trust	\$117,750	\$117,750
The Salvation Army	\$37,000	\$37,000
Trusted Mentors	\$56,000	\$56,000
Use What You've Got Ministry	\$46,500	\$46,500

Voice in the Wilderness	\$84,000	\$84,000
VSA Arts of Indiana	\$19,000	\$19,000
Wailing Women Win	\$46,500	\$46,500
Wishard - Health and Hospital Corp.	\$169,000	\$169,000
Workforce Inc	\$99,000	\$99,000
Child Advocates, INC	0	\$130,000
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$4,000,000</b>	<b>\$4,000,000</b>